

2577-124A
MIB:clw

High-Efficiency *Agrobacterium*-mediated Transformation of Cotton Using Petiole Explants

Technical Field

5 The present invention relates to the general field of genetic engineering of plants, in particular to the introduction of exogenous genetic material into cotton by *Agrobacterium* transformation of cotton petiole explants followed by somatic embryo regeneration.

10 Background

Cotton is one of the most valuable and widely grown cash crops internationally. Its annual production worldwide is over 100 million bales valued at US\$45 billion. Asia is the biggest cotton production area, with four out of five world top cotton producers located in this region. Cotton is not only the main supporter for the textile industry, but it also provides a huge and profitable market for manufacturers of chemicals for weed, disease and pest control. There are diverse opportunities for cotton molecular improvement, including improvement of yield and fiber quality and creation of new varieties that are resistant to herbicides, insects, nematodes and diseases (Steward, 1991).

25 Tissue Culture of Cotton: In 1935, Skovsted reported the first embryo culture of cotton. Beasley

1990		1991		1992		1993		1994		1995		1996		1997		1998		1999		2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007		2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2024		2025		2026		2027		2028		2029		2030		2031		2032		2033		2034		2035		2036		2037		2038		2039		2040		2041		2042		2043		2044		2045		2046		2047		2048		2049		2050		2051		2052		2053		2054		2055		2056		2057		2058		2059		2060		2061		2062		2063		2064		2065		2066		2067		2068		2069		2070		2071		2072		2073		2074		2075		2076		2077		2078		2079		2080		2081		2082		2083		2084		2085		2086		2087		2088		2089		2090		2091		2092		2093		2094		2095		2096		2097		2098		2099		2100	
1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100																																																																																																															

(1971) reported callus formation in cotton as an outgrowth from the micropylar end of fertilized ovules on Murashige & Skoog (MS) medium. Somatic

embryogenesis was achieved from a suspension culture of
 5 *G. klotzschianum* (Price & Smith, 1979). In 1983, Davidonis & Hamilton first succeeded in efficient and repeatable regeneration of cotton (*G. hirsutum* L.) plants from callus after two-year cultivation. Cotton plants were since regenerated through somatic
 10 embryogenesis from different explants (Zhang & Feng, 1992; Zhang, 1994) including cotyledon (Davidonis et al., 1987; Davidonis & Hamilton, 1983; Finer, 1988; Firoozabady et al., 1987), hypocotyl (Cousins et al., 1991; Rangan & Zavala, 1984; Rangan & Rajasekaran,
 15 1996; Trolinder & Goodin, 1988; Umbeck et al., 1987, 1989), stem (Altman et al., 1990; Bajaj et al., 1989; Chen, et al. 1987; Finer & Smith, 1984), shoot apex (Bajaj et al., 1985; Gould et al., 1991; Turaev & Shamina, 1986), immature embryo (Beasley, 1971; Stewart & Hsu, 1977, 1978), petiole (Finer & Smith, 1984; Gawel
 20 et al., 1986; Gawel & Robacker, 1990), leaf (Finer & Smith, 1984; Gawel & Robacker, 1986), root (Chen & Xia, 1991; Kuo et al., 1989), callus (Finer & McMullen, 1990; Trolinder et al., 1991) and protoplast (Chen et
 25 al., 1989).

Transformation of cotton: *Agrobacterium*-mediated cotton transformation was first reported a decade ago with hypocotyl and cotyledon as explants (Firoozababy et al., 1987; Umbeck et al., 1987). Several useful
 30 genes have been introduced into cotton via *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation, including insect and herbicide resistance genes (Perlak et al., 1990; Trolinder et al., 1991; Chen et al., 1994). Explants

20250409 065500T

(such as hypocotyl, cotyledon, callus generated from hypocotyl and cotyledon, as well as immature embryos) have been used for *Agrobacterium*-mediated

transformation and particle bombardment (de Framond et al., 1983; Finer & McMullen, 1990; Firoozabady et al., 1987; Perlak et al., 1990; Rangan & Rajasekaran, 1996; Rajasekaran et al., 1996; Trolinder et al., 1991; Umbeck et al., 1987, 1989, 1992). In addition, meristematic tissue of excised embryonic axes has also been used for cotton transformation by particle bombardment (Chlan et al., 1995; John, 1996; John & Keller, 1996; McCabe & Martinell, 1993). Zhou et al. (1983) transformed cotton by injecting DNA into the axile placenta one day after self-pollination.

However, the transformation rates were generally low, ranging from 20 to 30% when hypocotyl were used as explant (Firoozababy et al., 1987; Cousins et al., 1991; Rajasekaran et al., 1996). A significantly higher transformation efficiency, up to 80%, was reported when cotyledon was used as explant and the *ocs* gene encoding octopine synthetase used as the reporter gene (Firoozababy et al., 1987). However, the validity of octopine as a marker for transformation is questionable because octopine has been found in several plant species certainly not transformed by infection with *A. tumefaciens* (Wendt-Gallitelli and Dobrigkeit, 1973). A more recent report indicated that the transformation efficiency of cotyledon was about 20 to 30% (Cousins et al., 1991). The transformation efficiency was even lower when particle bombardment method was used (Keller et al., 1997). A difference in the type of explants used for transformation could have a significant effect on the efficiency of

2025040"0556001

transformation and regeneration. It has been reported, for example, that for reducing false positive transformants, cotyledon was a better explant than hypocotyledon (Firoozabady et al., 1987).

5 Cotton transformation also is highly dependent on genotype (Trolinder, 1985a, 1986; Trolinder & Goodin, 1987, 1988a, 1988b; Trolinder & Chen, 1989). Apart from a few cultivars which are regenerable and transformable, such as *Gossypium hirsutum* cv. Coker 312
10 and *G. hirsutum* Jin 7, most other important elite commercial cultivars, such as *G. hirsutum* cv. D&P 5415 and *G. hirsutum* cv. Zhongmian 12, are not regeneratable and transformable by these methods. The absence of a high-efficiency plant regeneration method has been
15 regarded as a major obstacle to the application of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation to cotton (Gawel et al., 1986; Firoozabady et al., 1987).

Summary of the Invention

20 To overcome the problems associated with previously reported methods, an efficient transformation procedure using petiole as an explant has been developed, along with a set of correspondingly improved media. This method provides several advantages in comparison to the hypocotyl and cotyledon
25 methods: (1) explants are easy to obtain; (2) transformation efficiency is higher; (3) *Agrobacterium* contamination is very rare; (4) efficiency in regeneration is higher; and (5) the time from transformation to regeneration of plantlets is reduced.
30 Two cotton varieties, i.e. Coker 312 and Si-Mian 3, have been successfully transformed with this method, and more than 30 independent transgenic lines from

20009590-040302

Coker 312 showing strong activity of the marker transgene have been obtained. This method is applicable to other cotton varieties such as Jin 7 and Ji 713 from China, Siokra 1-3 from Australia, T25, Coker 201 and Coker 310 from the U.S.A.

Brief Description of the Figure

Figure 1 shows the plasmid pBI121GFP, containing GFP as the reporter gene and the NPT II (neomycin phosphotransferase) gene as a selectable marker, used for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of cotton petiole according to the methods of the present invention.

Detailed Description

An efficient method is disclosed for genetic transformation of cotton plants, including elite lines, using cotton petiole as an explant. By using petiole explants, plus a set of improved media, transformation efficiency is significantly enhanced and the time required from transformation to regeneration is shortened in comparison to previously reported methods.

By using the methods of the present invention, the whole process from *Agrobacterium* transformation to the regeneration of transgenic plantlets can take about 6-7 months. The reported hypocotyl and cotyledon methods usually required 7-9 months or longer to complete the same process (Cousins et al., 1991; Chen et al., unreported observation). Another two months were required for growing the small plantlets to a suitable size for potting in soil.

Techniques for introducing exogenous genes into *Agrobacterium* such that they will be transferred stably

to a plant or plant tissue exposed to the *Agrobacterium* are well-known in the art and do not form part of the present invention. It is advantageous to use a so-

called "disarmed" strain of *Agrobacterium* or Ti
 5 plasmid, that is, a strain or plasmid wherein the genes responsible for the formation of the tumor characteristic of the crown gall disease caused by wild-type *Agrobacterium* are removed or deactivated. Numerous examples of disarmed *Agrobacterium* strains are
 10 found in the literature (e.g., pAL4404, pEHA101 and pEH 105 (Walkerpeach & Veltern, 1994)). It is further advantageous to use a so-called binary vector system, such as that described in Schilperoort et al., 1990, 1995. A binary vector system allows for manipulation
 15 in *E. coli* of the plasmid carrying the exogenous gene to be introduced into the plant, making the process of vector construction much easier to carry out.

Similarly, vector construction, including the construction of chimeric genes comprising the exogenous
 20 gene that one desires to introduce into the plant, can be carried out using techniques well-known in the art and does not form part of the present invention. Chimeric genes should comprise promoters that have activity in the host in which expression is desired.
 25 For example, it is advantageous to have a series of selectable markers for selection of transformed cells at various stages in the transformation process. A selectable marker (for example a gene conferring resistance to an antibiotic such as kanamycin,
 30 cefotaxime or streptomycin) linked to a promoter active in bacteria would permit selection of bacteria containing the marker (i.e., transformants). Another selectable marker linked to a plant-active promoter,

10009590-040302

such as the CaMV 35S promoter or a T-DNA promoter such as the NPT II NOS promoter, would allow selection of transformed plant cells. The exogenous gene that is desired to be introduced into the plant cell should

5 comprise a plant-active promoter in functional relation to the coding sequence, so that the promoter drives expression of the gene in the transformed plant. Again, plant-active promoters, such as the CaMV 35S, the NPT II NOS promoter or any of a number of tissue-specific promoters, are well-known in the art and

10 selection of an appropriate promoter is well within the ordinary skill in the art.

The present method can be used to produce transgenic plants expressing any number of exogenous

15 genes, and is not limited by the choice of such a gene. The selection of the desired exogenous gene depends on the goal of the researcher, and numerous examples of desirable genes that could be used with the present invention are known in the art (e.g., the family of

20 *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin genes, herbicide resistance genes such as shikimate synthase genes that confer glyphosate resistance, U.S. Patent No. 5,188,642, or a 2,4-D monooxygenase gene that confers resistance to 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D),

25 Bayley et al., Theoretical and Applied Genetics, vol. 82, pp. 645-49, male sterility genes such as the antisense genes of U.S. Patent No. 5,741,684 (Fabijanski, et al.), or even the elaborate crop protection systems described in U.S. Patent No.

30 5,723,765 (Oliver et al.)).

Cotton regeneration is considered in the art to be heavily variety-dependant. The Coker series of cotton varieties have been shown to be relatively easy to

10009590-040302

transform. However, DP 5412, Zhongmain 12 and many other varieties still have difficulties associated with regeneration. The situation is the same for *G.*

5 *barbadense* and other diploid species. While somatic embryogenesis and regeneration of whole plants is a highly genotype-dependent process in cotton, successful transformation and regeneration of two distinct cotton varieties, i.e. Coker 312 from U.S.A. and Si-Mian 3 from China, has been demonstrated using the methods of
10 the present invention. It is therefore believed that the present invention has wide applicability to transformation of a variety of cotton lines.

Transgene integration in the genome of cotton produced by the methods of the present invention was
15 confirmed using standard Southern hybridization techniques, as can identification of the copy number of the inserted transgene in each transgenic line (see Example 6, below). The F1 generation of transgenic cotton can be tested for the presence of the transgene,
20 and inheritance pattern of the transgene in the F1 generation can be analyzed to confirm stability and inheritability.

As compared with other reported protocols, the cotton transformation system of the present invention
25 has higher transformation efficiency and survival rate. This is attributable to several factors. In the present invention, petiole was used as an explant for transformation. Different types of cotton explants can have significant effects on the efficiencies of plant
30 transformation and regeneration (Firoozabady et al., 1987). Induction of somatic embryogenesis from petiole was reported previously. But regeneration was either unsuccessful or very poor (Finer and Smith, 1984; Gawel

et al., 1986). With the present invention, the efficiency of regeneration was significantly improved by using the improved media discussed below. In a

5 preferred embodiment, calli of high quality were obtained when tender petioles rich in parenchyma cell in primary vascular bundle tissue were cultured in the MMSI medium (described below) with low concentrations of 2,4-D and Kinetin.

10 With the present invention, the time for embryo induction in suspension culture can be shortened to 10 - 14 days, from a previously reported 3 weeks (Cousins et al., 1991). It was found that a shortened period of suspension culture treatment is important for high frequency induction of embryogenesis. It is also
15 important for reducing production of abnormal embryos, since a high percentage of vitreous embryos that are poor in regeneration are produced when cotton calli are maintained in suspension culture for too long (Chen et al., unpublished observation).

20 For maximum cell growth at different stages except at the young plant growing stage, glucose was used as the sole carbon source. The amount of glucose in the media can be from about 10 to about 50 g/l, preferably about 30 g/l. At the young plant growing stage,
25 glucose and sucrose at about 10 g/l respectively as carbon sources are preferable for promotion of healthy plantlets growth.

For growth of callus, embryogenesis and callus proliferation, pH range can be from 5.8 to 7.5,
30 preferably pH 6.2 - 7.0, most preferably at pH 6.5. A medium of pH 7.0 is preferable for healthy root growth of plantlets.

1000590-040303

For effective callus initiation and induction of the potency of embryogenesis, low concentrations of 2,4-D and kinetin in the callus induction and selection medium is important. The amount of 2,4-D can be from 0 to about 0.5 mg/l, preferably about 0.05 mg/l. The amount of kinetin can be from 0.0 mg/l to about 1.0 mg/l, preferably about 0.1 mg/l. In the callus differentiation stage and embryoid germination stage, best result were obtained when no plant hormone was added to the media.

The amino acids asparagine and glutamine are better nitrogen sources than inorganic ammonia nitrogen for specifically supporting embryoids germination and root development. In the embryoid germination medium, the amount of asparagine can be about 200 to about 1000 mg/l, preferably about 500 mg/l. The amount of glutamine can be about 500 to about 2000 mg/l, preferably about 1000 mg/l. With these optimized nitrogen sources, the growth of non-embryogenic calli was inhibited while the germination, growth and root development of embryoids were preferentially promoted.

At different stages of cotton transformation except co-culture with *Agrobacterium*, plant tissue and callus are preferably maintained at 28°C but can be varied from 25-35°C. For effective transformation, temperature in co-culture stage should not be higher than 28°C. A light condition of 16 hrs. light (60-90, $\mu\text{Em}^{-2}\text{S}^{-1}$) and 8 hrs. dark per day is preferable for all stages of cotton transformation and regeneration.

Unlike previously reported transformation and regeneration protocols (Umbeck et al., 1987; Firoozabady et al., 1987, Cousins et al.), the media used in the present invention are optimized in several

10009590-040302
20E040-0550007

respects: (a) glucose is used as a sole carbon source in all culture media except in the medium used to culture young plants previous to planting out in the greenhouse; (b) the media is adjusted to higher pH value (6.5-7.0); (c) lower concentration of 2,4-D (0.05mg/l) and kinetin (0.1 mg/l) is used only at callus initiation stage, no hormone is used at other stages; (d) asparagine and glutamine are used to replace inorganic ammoniac nitrogen in the medium used for embryoid germination. These modifications are adapted for the physiological requirement of cotton embryoid development and plantlet growth. It has been found that healthy embryoid development and plantlet growth, especially root system development, are largely attributable to these optimized media. For example, it has been found that asparagine and glutamine were better nitrogen source than inorganic ammonia nitrogen for supporting embryoid germination and root development. In the preferred MMS3 medium (described below), which contains asparagine and glutamine as the nitrogen source, the growth of non-embryogenic calli was inhibited while the germination, growth and root development of embryoids were preferentially promoted. Because of the healthy root development, the survival rate of potted transgenic cotton plants obtained by the methods of the present invention is almost 100%. With the reported hypocotyl and cotyledon protocols (Umbeck et al., 1987; Firoozabady et al., 1987), poor root development has been regarded as the main reason accounting for poor survival rate of potted transgenic cotton plants.

20090590-040302

The following are preferred plant tissue culture media used in the Examples:

-
- (1) Seedling growing medium (per liter):
- 5 ½ MS basal salt mixture (Sigma M5524)
 0.9 g $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$
 2.0 g gellan gum (Phytigel™, Sigma)
 pH 7.0
- (2) Petiole pre-culture medium (per liter):
- 10 MS basal salt mixture
 0.9 g $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$
 2.0 g gellan gum (Phytigel™, Sigma)
 pH 7.0
- (3) Co-culture medium (per liter):
- 15 MS basal salt mixture
 10 mg Thiamine-HCl
 1 mg Pyridoxine-HCl
 1 mg Nicotinic acid
 100 mg Myo-inositol
 0.05 mg 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D)
- 20 0.1 mg Kinetin
 30 g Glucose
 0.9 g $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$
 2.0 g gellan gum (Phytigel™, Sigma)
 pH 6.5
- 25 (4) MMS1 - callus induction and selection medium (per liter):
 Co-culture medium
 50 mg Kanamycin
 500 mg Cefotaxime

10009590-040303
 20250409 065600T

(5) MMS2 - differentiation medium (per litre):

MS basal salt mixture

10 mg Thiamine-HCl

1 mg Pyridoxine-HCl

5 1 mg Nicotinic acid

100 mg Myo-inositol

1.9 g KNO₃

30 g Glucose

0.9 g MgCl₂·6H₂O

10 2.0 g gellan gum (Phytigel™, Sigma)

pH 6.5

(5) MMS3 - embryoid germination medium (per litre):

3.8 g KNO₃440 mg CaCl₂·H₂O15 375 mg MgSO₄·7H₂O170 mg KH₂PO₄

1 g Glutamine

500 mg Asparagine

43 mg EDTA ferric-Na salt

20 MS micronutrients (Murashige and Skoog, 1962)

10 mg Thiamine-HCl

1 mg Pyridoxine-HCl

1 mg Nicotinic acid

100 mg Myo-inositol

25 30 g Glucose

0.9 g MgCl₂·6H₂O

2.0 g gellan gum (Phytigel™, Sigma)

pH 6.5

(7) Young plant growing medium

30 S&H medium Macro and Micro elements (Stewart and Hsu, 1977)

2020040"055000T

10 mg Thiamine-HCl
 1 mg Pyridoxine-HCl
 1 mg Nicotinic acid

100 mg Myo-inositol
 5 10 g Glucose
 10 g Sucrose
 0.9 g MgCl₂·6H₂O
 2.0 g gellan gum (Phytigel™, Sigma)
 pH 7.0

10 The following Examples are intended to illustrate the present invention, and not in any way to limit its scope, which is solely defined by the claims.

Example 1: Agrobacterium strain and plasmids

15 *A. tumefaciens* strain LBA 4404 (pBI121GFP) was used for transformation of cotton petiole and young stem. The physical map of pBI121GFP is shown in Fig.1, which contains GFP as a reporter gene and NPTII gene (encoding neomycin phosphotransferase) as a selectable marker. The GFP and NPTII genes are under the control
 20 of CaMV 35S promoter and nos promoter respectively. For construction of pBI121GFP, a 720 bp XbaI-SstI fragment of GFP gene from the pGFP2 plasmid (from Dr. N. H. Chua, Rockefeller University, New York) was cloned into the same sites in plasmid vector pBI121
 25 (Clontech) to replace the GUS gene. The pBI121GFP plasmid was introduced into *A. tumefaciens* LBA 4404 by electroporation.

Example 2: Plant material

Upland cotton varieties Coker 312 from the U.S.A. and Si-Mian 3 from Shanxi Cotton Research Institute in China were used in the experiments.

Tender petioles were collected from plants 8-12 weeks old grown in a greenhouse with low light conditions. The petioles were surface-sterilized with 70% ethanol for a few seconds, followed by 20% bleach solution (Clorox Co. USA, 1% available chlorine) for 20 min. After rinsing five times in sterilized water, the petioles were pre-cultured in MS medium for 3 days.

Example 3: Plant transformation

A single colony of *A. tumefaciens* strain LBA 4404 (pBI121GFP) was inoculated in liquid LB medium with 50 mg/L Rifampicin, 50 mg/L kanamycin and 100 mg/L streptomycin. The bacteria was grown overnight at 28°C in a shaker of 200 rpm. The bacterium cultures were diluted using liquid MS medium to OD600 = 0.3.

The petiole and young stem were cut into about 2 cm long segments. The segments were soaked in the diluted bacterium suspension for 5 min, then transferred onto plastic plates (100 x 25 mm) containing a filter paper soaked in 50 ml of co-culture medium. The plates were kept in an incubator of 24°C under continuous light for 48 hrs. The co-cultured explants were transferred onto MMS1 medium and incubated at 28°C with 16 hrs light (60-90 $\mu\text{Em}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) and 8 hrs dark per day. After 2-4 weeks calli were initiated at the cut ends of petiole segments. After 4-6 weeks kanamycin resistant calli had appeared, and the number of calli were counted and the expression of GFP gene was examined.

10005590-040302

Under the fluorescence microscope, the untransformed control callus appeared red in colour, while the transformed callus expressing GFP gene displayed distinct green fluorescence. A total of 113 putative transformed calli were examined for GFP activity, the transformation frequency of GFP gene was 39.8% (Table 1). When petioles from cotton variety Si-Mian 3 were used for transformation, 11 calli were found GFP positive from 26 calli tested, transformation efficiency was 42.3%.

Table 1: Transformation frequencies of petioles from cotton Coker 312 and Si-Mian 3

Varieties	Number of calli tested	Number of GFP positive calli	GFP gene transformation frequency (%)
Coker 312	113	45	39.8
Si-Mian 3	26	11	42.3

Example 4: Induction of somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration

The calli with vigorous growth and strong expression of GFP were selected and transferred into liquid MMS2 medium for suspension culture for 2 weeks. Friable cream-colored granular calli were selected and transferred to semi-solid differential medium, MMS2. After about 2 months a large number of embryoids were produced. Cytoplasmic dense embryogenic structures were gradually developed and large embryos were produced on the medium within 1-2 month. A short time of suspension culture treatment was very important, not only for high frequencies of embryogenesis induction, but also for production of embryoids of good quality.

Expression of GFP gene was checked again and all were GFP positive.

The embryoids and embryogenic calli with strong GFP activity were transferred onto the MMS3 medium.

5 After 1-2 months the plantlets that were about 1-2 cm in height with 1-2 true leaves and good root development were transferred to the Young Plant Growing Medium for about one month. About one month later, young plants with 6-8 leaves and about 10-15 cm in height were potted in soil and move to the glasshouse. All 30 potted transgenic plants survived and were found expressing GFP protein. The total time required to obtain transgenic plantlets using was under 7 months, and plantlets were reading for potting out in the greenhouse in about 2 additional months (see Table 2).

Table 2: The time frame from transformation of petiole segments to plant regeneration (Coker 312)

Transform- ation	Callus obtained	Embryos appeared	Regener- ation	Plants planted to green house	Flowering
10/4/98	26/5/98	29/7/98	1/11/98	31/12/98	14/2/99

Example 5: Detection of GFP Protein Activity

The expression of GFP protein activity was detected using a Leica MZ FLIII Fluorescence stereo microscope with a 480/40 nM excitation filter and a 510 nM barrier filter.

Green fluorescence of GFP gene can be easily distinguished in the transformed callus, embryoids, and young plantlets, with the untransformed control appeared red in colour under the fluorescence Stereo microscope. The exceptions were the untransformed

roots, which appeared dim green under the fluorescence microscope, probably due to some chromophorous chemicals accumulated in roots. But the roots with GFP activity could still be identified because the green fluorescence produced by GFP protein was brighter and appeared more uniform. Under the blue light produced by the fluorescence stereo microscope, red fluorescence is clearly visible in untransformed green plant tissues that are enriched with chlorophyll such as leaf and stem. In GFP-positive green plant tissues, yellow fluorescence also was detected because of the overlapping of red and green fluorescence. However, the expression of GFP gene in petal and anther was poorer in comparison to that in other parts of plant.

15 Example 6: Analysis of Transgenic Plants

Genomic DNA from putatively transformed lines and non-transformed control plants was purified according to Paterson et al. (1993). After digestion with *EcoRI*, which cuts in-between left border of T-DNA and Nos-3' terminator of the chimerical GFP gene (Fig. 1), DNA was separated on a 0.8% TAE agarose gel and transferred to Hybond-N membrane according to manufacturer's instructions. DNA was fixed to the membrane by UV crossing linking before hybridizing to the DIG labeled coding region of the GFP gene. Hybridized probe was detected with anti-DIG-AP conjugate according to manufacturer's instructions (BOEHRINGER MANNHEIM).

The genomic DNA samples from 11 randomly selected transgenic lines and 1 untransformed control plant were analyzed Southern hybridization, using the coding region of GFP gene as the hybridization probe. The data indicate that 7 out of 11 lines have a single

200009590-040302

copy, 3 lines have 2 copies, and 1 line has 6 copies of T-DNA insertion. The high percentage of transgenic lines with a single copy of T-DNA insertion suggests that this transformation protocol has less risk of gene silencing and undesirable insertion mutants.

References Cited

- Altman, D. W. et al. 1990. Economic Botany 40, 106.
- Bajaj, Y. P. S. 1985. Theor. Appl. Genet. 70, 363.
- Beasley, C. A. 1971. In vitro culture of fertilized cotton ovules. Biosci. 21, 906-7.
- Chen Z.X., Llewellyn D.J., Fan Y.L., Li S.J., Guo S.D., Jiao G.L., and Zhao J.X. 1994. 2,4-D Resistant Transgenic Cotton Plants Produced by Agrobacterium-mediated gene Transfer. Scientia Agriculture Sinica 27(2): 31-37.
- Chen, Z. X., Li, S. J., Yue, J. X., Jiao, G. L. and Liu S. X. 1989. Plantlet regeneration from protoplasts isolated from an embryogenic suspension culture of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Acta Botanica Sinica 31, 966-9.
- Chen, Z. X., Trolinder, N.L. et al., 1987. Some characteristics of somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration in cotton cell suspension culture. Scientia Agriculture Sinica 20, 6-11.
- Chlan, C. A., Lin, J., Cary, J. W. and Cleveland, T. E. 1995. A procedure for biolistic transformation and regeneration of transgenic cotton from meristematic tissue. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 13, 31-7.
- Cousins Y.L., Lyon B.R., and Llewellyn D.J. 1991. Transformation of Australian cotton cultivar:

10009590-040300

Davidonis, G. H., and Hamilton, R. H. 1983. Plant
regeneration from callus tissue of *Gossypium*
5 *hirsutum* L. Plant Sci. Lett. 32, 89-93.

Davidonis, G. H., Mumma, R. O. and Hamilton, R. H.
1987. Controlled regeneration of cotton plants
from tissue culture. US Patent No. 4,672,035.

de Framond et al. 1983. Mini-Ti: a new vector strategy
10 for plant genetic engineering. Bio/technology 1,
262-9.

Finer, J. J. and McMullen, M. D. 1990. Transformation
of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) via particle
bombardment. Plant Cell Reports 8, 586-9.

15 Finer, J.J., and Smith R.H. 1984. Initiation of
callus and somatic embryos from explants of mature
cotton (*Gossypium klotzschianum* Anderss). Plant
Cell Reports 3, 41-43.

Finer, J., 1988. Plant regeneration from somatic
20 embryogenic suspension cultures of cotton
(*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Plant Cell Rep. 7,
399-402.

Firoozababy E., DeBoer D.L., Merlo D.J., Halls E.J.,
Anderson L.N., Raska K.A., Murray E.E. 1987.
25 Transformation of cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum* L. by
Agrobacterium tumefaciens and regeneration of
transgenic plants. Plant Molecular Biology 10,
105 1 16

Gawel N.J., Rao A.P., and Robacker C. 1986. Somatic
30 embryogenesis from leaf and petiole callus
cultures of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Plant Cell
Reports 5, 457-459.

Gawel, N. J. and Robacker, C. 1990. Genetic control of

somatic embryogenesis in cotton petiole callus cultures. *Euphytica* 49, 249-53.

-
- Gould, J., Banister, S., Hasegawa, O., Fahima, M. and
 5 Smith, R. H. 1991. Regeneration of *Gossypium*
hirsutum and *G. barbadense* from shoot apex tissues
 for transformation. *Plant Cell Reports* 10, 12-6.
- Hoekema et al. 1983. A binary plant vector strategy
 based on separation of Vir- and T-Region of the
 10 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* Ti-plasmid. *Nature* 303,
 179-80.
- John, M. E. 1996. Structural characterization of genes
 corresponding to cotton fiber mRNA, E6: reduced E6
 protein in transgenic plants by antisense gene.
Plant Mol. Biol. 30, 297-306.
- 15 John, M. E. and Keller, G. 1996. Metabolic pathway
 engineering in cotton: Biosynthesis of
 polyhydroxybutyrate in fiber cells. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. USA 93, 12768-73.
- Keller G., Spatola L., McCabe D., Martinell B., Swain
 20 W.X. and John M.E. 1997. Transgenic cotton
 resistant to herbicide bialaphos. *Transgenic*
Research 6, 385-392.
- Kuo, C. C. et al., 1989. *Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod.*
Res. Confs, 638.
- 25 McCabe, D. E. and Martinell, B. J. 1993.
 Transformation of elite cotton cultivars via
 particle bombardment of meristems. *Bio/technol.*
 11, 596-8.
- Murashige T., and Skoog F. 1962. A revised medium for
 30 rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue
 culture. *Physiol. Plant* 15, 473-493.

10009590-040302

- Paterson, A.H., Brubaker, C.L., and Wendel J.F., 1993.
A rapid method for extraction of cotton
(*Gossypium* spp.) Genomic DNA suitable for RFLP or
PCR analysis. Plant Mol. Biol. Rptr. 11, 122-127.
- 5 Perlak F.J., Deaton R.W., Armstrong T.A., Fuchs R.L.,
Sims S.R., Greenplate J.T., and Fischhoff D.A.
1990. Insect resistant cotton plants.
Bio/Technology 8, 939-943.
- 10 Price, H. J. and Smith, R. H. 1979. Somatic
embryogenesis in suspension cultures of *Gossypium*
klotzschianum Anderss. Planta 145, 305-6.
- Rangan, F. J. and Zavala, T. Ip. A. 1984. Somatic
embryogenesis in tissue culture of *Gossypium*
hirsutum L.). In Vitro 20, 256.
- 15 Rangan, R. and Rajasekaran, K. 1996. Regeneration of
cotton plant in cell suspension culture. US
Patent No. 5,583,036 (continued from US Patent
5,244,802, 1993 and 122,200, 1987).
- 20 Rajasekaran K., Grula J.W., Hudspeth R.L., Pofelis S.,
Anderson D.M. 1996. Herbicideresistant Acala and
Coker cotton transformed with the native gene
encoding mutant forms of acetohydroxyacid
synthase, Mol. Breeding, 2: 307-319.
- 25 Schilperoort, R.A., Hoekema, A., Hooykaas, P.J.J.
1990. Process for the incorporation of foreign
DNA into the genome of dicotyledmous plants. U.S.
Patent No. 4,940,838.
- 30 Schilperoort, R.A., Hoekema, A., 1995. Process for the
incorporation of foreign DNA into the genome of
dicotyledmous plants. U.S. Patent No. 5,464,763.
- Steward J. McD. 1991. Biotechnology of Cotton:
Achievements and Perspectives. CAB International.

10009590-040302

Stewart J. McD., and Hsu C.L. 1977. In ovule embryo culture and seedling development of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Planta* 137, 113-117.

- 5 Stewart, J. McD. and Hsu, C. L. 1978. Hybridization of deploid and tetraploid cottons through in-ovulo embryo culture. *J. Heridity* 69, 404-8.
- Trolinder, N. L. 1985a. Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). A Dissertation in Biology (Dec., 1985).
- 10 Trolinder, N. L., Chen, Z.X., 1989. Genotype specificity of the somatic embryogenesis response in cotton. *Plant Cell Reports* 8, 133-6.
- Trolinder, N. L. and Goodin, J. E. 1987. Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Plant Cell Reports* 6, 231-4.
- 15 Trolinder, N. L. and Goodin, J. E. 1988a. Somatic embryogenesis and regeneration in cotton. I. Effects of source of explant and hormone regime. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 12, 31-42.
- 20 Trolinder, N. L. and Goodin, J. E. 1988b. Somatic embryogenesis and regeneration in cotton. II. Requirements for embryo development and plant regeneration. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 12, 43-53.
- 25 Trolinder N.L., Quisenberry J., Bayley C., Ray C., and Ow D. 1991. 2,4-D-resistant transgenic cotton. *Proceedings, Beltwide Cotton Conferences*. National Cotton Council, Memphis, Tennessee, P840.
- 30 Turaev, A. M. and Shamina, Z. B. 1986. *Soniet Plant Physiol.* 33, 439.
- Umbeck P. 1992. Genetic engineering of cotton plants and lines. United States Patent: 5,159,135.

10009590-040302

Umbeck, P. F, Johnson, G., Barton, K. and Swain, W.
1987. Genetically transformed cotton (*Gossypium*
hirsutum L.) plants. *Bio/technol.* 5, 263-6.

-
- 5 Umbeck P., Johnson P., Barton K., and Swain w.. 1987.
Genetically transformed cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*
L.) plants. *Bio/Technology* 5, 263-266.
- 10 Walkerpeach, C.R. and Veltner, J. 1994. *Agrobacterium*-
mediated gene transfer to plant cells: cointegrate
and binary vector systems. *Plant Mol. Biol.*
Mannuel B1, 1-19.
- 15 Wendt-Gallitelli M.F., and Dobrigkeit I. 1973.
Investigations implying the invalidity of octopine
as a marker for transformation by *Agrobacterium*
tumefaciens. *Zeitschrift fur Naturforschung -*
Section C- Biosciences 28, 768-771.
- Zhang, H.-B. 1994. The tissue culture of cotton (II).
Plant Physiol. Commun. 30, 386-91.
- Zhang, H.-B. and Feng, R. 1992. The tissue culture of
cotton (I). *Plant Physiol. Commun.* 30, 386-91.
- 20 Zhou, G.-Y., Weng, J., Zeng, Y.-S., Huang, J.-G., Qian,
S.-Y. and Liu, G.-L. 1983. Introduction of
exogenous DNA into cotton embryos. *Methods in*
Enzymology 101,433-81.

20250401 065600F